

Wed, May 30, 12

What if: Germany had been (used as) deterrent

(P.g. to S.B.

or blockade

or invasion

deal? — or S.B. had been?

e.g. to Holocaust

to invasion of ...

(What left, g. 41?)

to war on US?

to V-1, V-2; continued
B. 21?

How would, then, either of these
differ from mere deterrence: either I, or II?

Hyp: Law of these put into a rule of law
or, into "spirit of int. law"

as of 1939

(see Hoon on blockade + SB

POR; (Nether) ...

- Only one considered at Nuremberg (not really
enforced, later)
along with "aggression" (good - but not
followed).

Time

Aug 17 (1922) 1 yr. anniversary of Wall
anniversary of peace treaty

Aug 31 Peter Frey 4 days misty Amgo River

Roback:

Is hemispheric and White - North Hem -
possible?

(A threat to food in Santa?')

to Helman:

~~There~~ was an last 67 years was
not just "possible" (say, by accident, about
the total (small) level of prob. every year;
it was much worse than that (which
was also true):

it was monumentally high (even if $< .5$)

$$\cancel{.16} \quad .4 \times .4 \times .4$$

$$\begin{array}{r} .16 \\ .4 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$1 - .64 = .36 \text{ chance of } \text{no} \text{ no} \text{ no} \\ \text{in 3 crises}$$

Center

MX
N-level
P-II
CM

Kapo of Shah → Center/By being SU into Afghan
→ reference for ROF and MX (ad for a Suvar journal
vs. SU, but also counterweight for France)
and internal long-term war (piv on Sgt power)

Announcing the force (other) involves us of
guilt over irregularity, being well the force.

Center Doc

Strategies &
Force Planning:
the case of the
Persian Gulf
late '80's

Epstein
for the Holocaust or the
Reviewing hist. (Wolfowitz 78-79 - nice
Planning for new us. from Rev.
SU in Persian Gulf - 1940's,
along with NATO
(Nitz)

see UK worries about Russian taking over India
The Hunt Canyon

(Center Doctrine shifts to threat from external
to internal regional threat: Iran, Iraq)

Center's 200 Wambush

Center: In case SU responds to US. RU in PG by
pressing in Europe (or possibly something...)
These threats get us new leadership - Center to Reagan
(and from K to Bush... Clinton to Bush...)

Having an enemy is crucial to the development
of the hierarchy, strong leaders
(Chick - Bush...)

Leadership is a limited resource because
often male, leader for aggression -

If you're weak, lose credibility

not only will your group/section lose authority
cred.
influence

but the leader will lose standing

I/we must maintain credibility

That is a cheap measure, of influence;
but at risk of big collapse, losing 1) authority
2) one's leadership
role

(Douglas Newton Australian UK Prolegator
of WWI)
Kendall Wilson

Proving concern of UK attitude to
Entente — if they didn't help Russia (+ France)
Russia would be to going into frustration
(since was not the Continent, for UK.

In Britain, Germany is portrayed as threat to UK
not frustration — real concern (not Europe)

Keep Russia occupied in Europe.

TR

TR:

4-28-12

The real origins & emergence of an
new wave plan (+ practice)

Barons (goals, treatments) + risks of
it.

(+ it's the same now: in US
and in UK / Sweden)

My ~~added~~ interest in: threats
risks (eventually)

X

Why so warm?

me: David

(Hallman)

vs. Wally & Pepperman

My answer: we were close —
prob. at various ^{crises} points was high:

> .2, ~ .5 whether higher than
.5 or not, or somewhat less

Several times —

It was unlikely if we'd all failed...

Will there prob. be another

TR-

4-28-12

How many Americans are aware that
on Aug. 8 SU entered Manchuria?

(to the surprise + chagrin of Japanese

i.e. that is with explanation for ending
the war -

|| McG B tracks would lead that you
win a war by launching & thrusting war!

Husling

Covert was co-chairman of CPO

(member of Round-Table from '30's

McClay, He, Allen Dulles, ~~Baker~~,
David Bruce.

Baker (for me, McG) ^{to lose after being} to have sent another
100K

He didn't want to be accused of being
VN the way his mother Jackson (his
brother's father-in-law had lost China ^{not being done} ^{except/anything}

"The Gate"

Baker + Davis
you

Liberal Republic: Stimson { Bundy (father)
McG son (warrior)
Kearney
McClay
Allen Dulles
McNamara (noted for 1960)
JFK's Nat. Sec.

FOR + VFR inserted Republic into China
Jackson? (Rph?)

In 64-65 DeLoach & McCloy are saying to
McGB - you've got to go in.

Wells

Saying with a mountain

1
D.M.

McGB/DeLoach

TR: the mountain has been constructed
and we stay with it.

DB

If are used - because they believe
they are useful

(and that too low - by failing to
use them - would mean

- to be pushed out of ME
(or from)

- to lose office

- "to lose NATO"

Definitely Berlin gives us an excuse to
build up SAC - to defend ME

We can't be perceived as bluffing

it will invite reaction → regional
integration that does not give US a privileged role -

Threat \rightarrow hold an alliance

To prevent East-West trade in Europe
& Asia

that would limit US influence —

which brought us: more trade & investment
in an area...

to keep Japan from trade

Korea's willingness to risk global TN War
to ... make threats credible — to maintain
alliances around the world

Heart of war

despite that it was considered
+ threatened + proposed

and believed that it was very important
not to be forced bluffing —
and believed that it is

— other side backed down;
they believed that threats had worked —
confirming it

|| They have never been willing to give up FC
threats (or etc.)

How did I come to see
the world rich

(1981 - my study of the world!)

Challenger explosion

K/T Rpt:

K/T finding

"Convention of fatalism"
(under, Arrow?)

I see never coming in VN

70's



This explains better the world than:

"We build weapons

One

SU missiles: "defensive" IRBMs - ES weapons
again

budget NATO strat. to Chap. Cuba

Type I Det has not been seen for
over 67 years - the Type II.

Favors for ^{the} promotion (is there any difference
between 2nd state D-L / promotion / the ("promotion")
better =) =)

To think

If Benelux Association were in NATO -
the Russians would not dare attack

If not? Well... (we'd blow up the world)

"If 're (Ruhos) are willing to risk the world to win an election"

Sad in Dallas

Inability to know (anti-leaving mechanism)

"No 'mistakes'"

No more VNs?

no "concessions under threat"

McGB to me on C-II and other crises

(PP - not discuss)

(IRBMs in Turkey;

WSEC "writing"

ABM in Europe

Northwood

Apology to Paks

By ~~man~~ side

... Kunder can't change, admit error, open questions...

Subords don't want to ... give best news

C-II

... on attempt
Inability ^{on attempt} permitted to know or consider one (current, provocative) policies to which evening are responding or defending (9 big surprises...)

Moral Universal of Leaders

For a leader to put his (her) status and jobs
(and "credibility" — of his

and of US

"on the line" (to stake it, as in clash or
roulette) ("on the table" — table stakes)

of a public declaration

is to make a strong commitment

to make it (appear, subjectively) "irreversible"
to withdraw or concede or back down:

as if it were worse to go to war,
or to lose to global war

than to lose one's standing or jobs.

because to leaders, it is, or seems to be!

CBJ to Russell — "I'd be imprisoned —
wouldn't I?"

Clarke — I would have been first.

JFK to RFK — imprisoned

MCN to JFK on ¹⁰⁰⁰MM

McGB: "better to lose after with 100K

Dallas to FBI: "When you look at alternatives
to one war..." (in crisis:

JFK in C-119: Better if children be released

Jobs at State

Exception to rule: as in VN, 61

C-I, VN-65

During war imprisoned,
threatened with amputation...

JFK
McNair C-II:
if he hadn't
said that."

Danger of threats —

- Executing threat is made feasible
quickly + easily
(in crisis)

JCS
negotiation of
options —
for credibility

Alternatives have been deliberately made harder,
slower, more costly (pivotal on, not prepared,
blocked, not reversed...)

(Danger of Pres' reversals of Crisis execution

(Can't "reverse"

"Surrender"/conversion
negot...)

Can't signal to JCS,

Push/Pull (so...)

= White Nights in Pentagon!

Ⓜ

Focus of VSCP —

Contingency: uprising in East Germany
that might fall in, against SU forces

— East German forces

— West German forces

(esp. if the land moves:
to later Soviet forces!)

see K. Bros of (West) Germans

+ moves!

Yes, too — no

What if we put too much in Berlin?

("in US control"!))

(McN considered it! — '62 — + 64, Germans
Runk

✓ wanted NFO in Europe (Navy, MHH, ANW,
no. NATO
no. HK
her)

Conventional build-up

My McN showed to NATO

(not attack on Berlin!)

Helpful — but!

TR - Just

Dollars: in Qing

Nothing looks worth using arms until
you come from to force with the
consequences of not using them, and being
forced to know how bluffing.

(Barling, Gubett ...)

Barling

Douglas Barling: Dan O'Brien & the CW Wars

By thought threats of Dollars & the to be
unsubstantiated - but to be a bluff

so you must have conventional forces

"By doing exercises & training (for bluff) you
are making it more likely that under
certain circumstances, it will be carried
out

(Center, 840 ... kg

unsubstantiated, in many, of them - or

PREMPT

(K being first - explained by B ("you'll
never know

and cycle of proof - you'll never do
this to us again."

quote

M

VFK on thinking;

3d Party

Emotive reasoning

abil.
Business

Douglas Murray review of 3 pp.
Robert Simpson, "Hunt American
Cities" (excerpt on VD's)

Human beings think in ~~class~~ categorical
terms - emotive - rather than in Boolean
terms (B. statistics) -

[How explain

Simpson "Believing is Seeing" is a page in book
If $\geq 25\%$ of neighborhood are black,
most groups are "black" disorder
the perceive
(blacks see it, latinos are more in red
disorder)

Handed for
people who
gain power

(Hitler)

Narcissistic emotive reasoning: If group doesn't
have me, they will be worse off, or be destroyed,

readers come to believe that
2/ what they're doing

and (b) their big is power

is good for a group (not necessarily
good for public as a whole — though
they also come to think

"(Wobts (good for me is) good for USAF)

and what's good for USAF is good for
US & world

Easy to believe this — even when
(to others) it's not good for
(one's children, or USAF, or public...)

X New unhyphenated First Circle 2009

(Stalin's

"Stalin trusted nobody — except Hitler."

Chris form
Protection from
Chris Evans

Saint Stutes and
Derek Keel^{and}
Emily Dickinson

and the New Reality
Thinking
Cambridge Univ. Press

Clinton

Ostrander

1953 Berlin

referring

William Boarden

Pacific Alliance

(Int. Mil. Keynesian)

TR

6-25-12

was for threatening

but operative

(when would we

want to disarm SC)?

1) They were about
to attack us.

unless WJ would try?
China — being interested in?

2) False alarm —

or crisis from (1983?)

we were about to war

are about to disarm

the

only

2) SC enlarged EU-Easy

on Armed — war

after an FU on the
ally

C China, NVN, NK,
(Iraq? Egypt (Israel)
Europe / Berlin